

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/600,230	Applicant(s) WILKINSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Cynthia Collins	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

(1) Cynthia Collins.

(2) Michael Willis.
Status of Application: response to nonfinal office action filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: confirmed that notice of noncompliant office action issued June 14, 2006 is incorrect, as no claims are missing, i.e. a complete listing of claims is present in the amendment filed June 9, 2006. It is also noted that NO document was filed on April 17, 2006 in this application, the only claims pending in this application are claims 1-4, 6 and 9-13, and that the Notice of Noncompliant Amendment mailed June 14, 2006 does not list an Application Number, Applicant(s), Examiner or Art Unit. .